

# Stakeholder Process Super Forum

Rebecca Carroll  
Facilitator, Stakeholder Process Super Forum  
Members Committee  
August 22, 2019

- The Members Committee (MC) approved the formation of the Stakeholder Process Super Forum (Super Forum) at its October 25, 2018 meeting
- Explore potential opportunities to modify the stakeholder process
  1. **Prioritize** issues to allow stakeholders to manage the volume of issues under consideration and the volume of meetings
  2. Develop an **additional pathway** for vetting issues that are contentious or must be decided quickly
  3. Enhance **transparency** throughout the PJM stakeholder process and decisional hierarchy

- Facilitated 7 special MC sessions
  - January – July 2019
- Conducted 2 survey polls
  - First poll aimed at identifying where further consensus can be gained
  - Second poll designed to gauge the level of consensus for each of the fully vetted package proposals
- Worked issues individually to propose 3 unique proposal packages
  1. Priority of Issues
  2. Critical Issue Fast Path
  3. Transparency

- **Meeting Frequency, Duration & Format**
  - On an annual basis and in conjunction with the Annual MC work plan review, PJM will present a recommendation for scheduling and prioritization of current groups and issues.
  - Stakeholder meetings may be scheduled in 1-hour blocks
  - Meetings two hours or less will be conference call/WebEx only
  - Facilitators to better manage agenda; allotted time for each item & hard stop at 5pm
- **Prioritization and Methodology of Meeting Scheduling & Issues**
  - Sub-Committee meetings may be scheduled 1 year in advance, and shorted or consolidated
  - (Sr.) Task Forces, Special Sessions, etc. may only be scheduled 6 months in advance
  - Stakeholders are required to review the current committee work plan prior to approving new issue

- **Criteria for consolidating meetings of similar topics**
  - When possible, PJM will consolidate meetings that are historically two hours or less into the same day
- **Scheduling of meeting days**
  - PJM will maintain 2 contiguous monthly “no-meeting” dates
  - PJM may hold 1 additional meeting day for “high priority and/or time critical topics”
- **Incorporation of PJM Management and BOM**
  - MC work plan to be reviewed at least quarterly, and prior to approving a new issue charge
- **New Issue Assignment Guidelines**
  - Include a table in Manual 34 that provides guidelines for which stakeholder body to address new issues

- Critical Issue Fast Path (CIFP) is a new stakeholder process to provide the PJM Board and PJM Members an orderly and facilitated process for contentious issues, with known deadlines, that were not resolved, or would be extremely difficult to resolve, within the normal CIBR process
- Expected use is infrequent, for major issues in extraordinary circumstances
- 3 triggers to initiate; all involve PJM Board

## Meetings to occur in 4 stages

1. PJM provides education and initial solution package, including alternatives
2. Stakeholders discuss previously considered or new alternatives, with row-by-row matrix review
3. Based on the row-by-row discussion, PJM finalizes their package, and stakeholders create alternative packages
4. “Final Meeting” where PJM reviews the final package row-by-row and explains how it addresses the PS/IC. Following PJM’s presentation, Members and invited non-Members will provide feedback to the Board on the impacts, positive and/or negative, of the details contained within the package solution matrix.

- Manual 34 updates
- 10.2 Governing Document Review Postings (new sentence)
  - “Either at Members request or as PJM deems appropriate, and as time permits, PJM shall offer the opportunity for a 'page turn' meeting(s) for proposed and/or stakeholder endorsed business rules either prior to the MRC vote or after the MRC vote but prior to PJM filing the changes at FERC.”
- 10.4 Posting Process Timelines (new paragraph)
  - “In the event language that was posted in accordance with Manual 34, Section 10.4 is substantively modified at the time the MC is voting on such language or thereafter, PJM shall post such language, to the extent practicable, at least 3 business days prior to the intended filing of such language for stakeholder review and input. Such review and input is not intended to initiate a new vote on the language or to change the substance of it. When this provision is triggered, PJM shall provide a dedicated email to receive such feedback.”



Question:	Yes	No	Abstain
Can you support the Prioritization of Issues - PJM Proposal?	118 <b>(92.2%)</b>	10 (7.8%)	1
Can you support the Critical Issue Fast Path Proposal?	117 <b>(93.6%)</b>	8 (6.4%)	4
Can you support the Transparency Proposal, including the Tariff review?	101 <b>(98.1%)</b>	2 (1.9%)	26