

RPPTF Update

Sean McNamara
Markets and Reliability Committee
September 15, 2011

- Evaluate the need to expand the transmission planning criteria, or existing scenario planning procedures to include a broader range of assumptions
 - Bright-line Test
- Develop the process that PJM will use to receive and consider alternate transmission proposals

- **Baseline Reliability Improvements**
 - Changes to load forecasts
 - ITRON study
 - At-risk generation
 - Removal of units failing to clear 2 BRAs
 - Dead Band
 - 100% loading remains the trigger to include project in RTEP - project would remain in RTEP unless it is >95% loading

- FYI Process
 - More stakeholder input on assumptions/scenarios
 - Extensive scenario planning analysis performed
 - TEAC is the forum for reviewing scenario planning analyses
 - Analyses done as part of the FYI Process forms the basis for projects to get into the RTEP
 - State Agreement Critical Mass or Proactive Build Approaches

- Allows one or more states to decide how to meet policy goals
 - Completely voluntary
 - Provides a vehicle for states to take action through the RTEP
- Link to FYI Process
 - Input to assumptions and scenario analysis – State Committee
 - Coordinated analysis and review of results
 - Allows states to use results for information purposes - no obligation to proceed with a project
- Integrate state selected projects into RTEP

- Consolidate baseline reliability, market efficiency, and interconnection needs
- Commits to a larger project based on the “bright-line” drivers and on expectation that additional drivers exist and may move forward. Drivers such as:
 - Reliability drivers coupled with pending interconnection projects
 - At-risk generation drivers coupled with pending interconnection projects
 - Pending interconnection projects alone
 - Any of the above coupled with State Agreement project drivers
 - Any of the above coupled with Market Efficiency project drivers

- Design “Bright Line” triggers related to various policy initiatives
- Triggers will represent fairly high hurdles for proactive action
- At-risk generation is the only trigger indentified to date
 - scenario analyses need to be defined to coordinate with amounts removed in baseline
- Additional triggers may be identified in the future

- Additional stakeholder input to help refine FYI Process and State Agreement proposals
 - Update draft proposal whitepaper and OA language draft with additional substance and re-circulate
- Additional stakeholder meetings to refine Critical Mass and Proactive Build approaches
- Anticipate bringing proposed changes to MRC in Oct./Nov. and to the MC in Nov./Dec./Jan. timeframe