

ARR/FTR Capability and Value

Tim Horger Director – Market Operations

www.pjm.com | Public

PJM©2021



Purpose of Presentation

- Demonstrate how ARR/FTR modeled capability aligns with actual physical capability.
- Demonstrate how existing ARR/FTR market construct is intended to value 100% of the available capability and return that value to load.
- NOT a defense of or proposal for status quo



- Purchased through

- Paths include entire

- Firm customers only

congested network

Converted ARR MWs

- Highest priority because pay

costs of transmission system

- Paths align with historical

Auctions

network

Transmission Capability of ARR/FTR vs. Physical system

Key Point: ARR/FTR available capability equals the available physical transmission system capability

Total ARR/FTR Capability (MWs) Total Physical Transmission Capability (MWs) Generation (Injection) MWs

Loop Flow MWs

Loop Flow MWs

Unused MWs

Capability not requested

Market does not think has value

Unused MWs -Unused capability on transmission facilities

Load

(Withdrawal) MWs

How does Load hedge Congestion?

Congestion Payments

Congestion payments made when transmission system cannot accommodate least cost resources

ARR/FTR Revenues

Key Point:

ARR/FTR market designed so that ARR/FTR revenues offset congestion charges in alignment with how load is actually served.

ARR/FTR Revenues used to offset congestion costs ideally on same paths of congestion

- Path based support self-supply and bilateral contracts (Majority of how load is served)
- Perfect hedge for individual load arrangements is most desirable

How does Load receive revenue for 100% of available capability?







Load choice impacts ARR/FTR Revenues



Note: This analysis intentionally does not include surplus allocation and balancing congestion charges. For a more detailed discussion of this analysis, please refer to Section 6.7.

Figure 29. Congestion returned to load if all ARRs are self-scheduled (before surplus allocation) or none of the ARRs self-scheduled \$2,000 n \$1'200 \$1' \$1' \$500 \$0 14/15 15/16 16/1717/1818/19 19/20Day-ahead congestion charges collected by PJM Payment to load if all ARRs are self-scheduled (without surplus allocation)

ARR target allocation if there is 0% self-schedule

Source: LEI analysis based on data provided by PJM.

Key Point: Load choice impacts congestion hedge

*Data from LEI Report available at following link: <u>https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/task-forces/afmtf/postings/lei-review-of-pjm-arrs-and-ftrs-report.ashx</u>