



**Interest Identification**

| # | Interest   | Charge/<br>Mission/<br>Charter   | Attributes   | Party   | AMP<br>Recommendation                    | Notes |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|-------|
| 1 | Outline the state of Transparency as it exists today via the RTEP, TEAC, Sub-regional planning efforts                               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Completed through education              |       |
| 2 | Discuss and build common understanding of a desired threshold/definition for "depth" of transparency                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Delete as unclear                        |       |
| 3 | What are the stakeholders needs regarding transparency? (Timing, reporting needs, level of reporting/transparency, other?)           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 3, 4 & 5 as TOs are stakeholders |       |
| 4 | What level of transparency would stakeholders consider adequate for asset management decisions and for expansion planning decisions? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 3, 4 & 5 as TOs are stakeholders |       |
| 5 | TO Perspective regarding transparency of process   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 3, 4 & 5 as TOs are stakeholders |       |
| 6 | Develop a common understanding of the nature and level of consistency that is being  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency  | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer                                 | Combine 6, 7 & 8                         |       |



## Transmission Replacement Processes Senior Task Force Interest Identification

**(DRAFT)**

|    |  |  |   |   |                  |  |
|----|--|--|---|---|------------------|--|
|    | sought by PJM and stakeholders   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency  | <input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency  | <input type="checkbox"/> PJM  |                  |  |
| 7  | Is the consistency that is being sought across project types or across transmission zones?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 6, 7 & 8 |  |
| 8  | How should geographic, environmental, business, locational, and other regional differences be taken into account?  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 6, 7 & 8 |  |
| 9  | Gain insight into the tasks listed for Supplemental projects   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                  |  |
| 10 | An interest to generate the ability to independently replicate results   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 10 & 18  |  |
| 11 | A holistic (2-step) transmission replacement process that adequately addresses DG, micro-grids, DR, State public policies, etc. as well as all of the needs of affected LSEs | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                  |  |
| 12 | Robust transmission system that includes cost effective solutions for the long term, all the   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                  |  |



## Transmission Replacement Processes Senior Task Force Interest Identification

**(DRAFT)**

|    |   |   |  |   |                             |  |
|----|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
|    | alternatives were examined in anticipation of the investments   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency  |   |                             |  |
| 13 | Enhance transparency associated with transmission rate implications through Form 715 or TO path – understand cost implications of future transmission rates   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 13 & 23             |  |
| 14 | Full and complete understanding of the requirements of Form 715   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Completed through education |  |
| 15 | Transparent replacement criteria and good methodology to identify and build the “right” projects (Concern – for example, projects that meet criteria and may not be built as well as projects that may not meet criteria and yet may be built)    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                             |  |
| 16 | Develop and document common “themes” employed by Transmission Owners. Identify best practices to assess, quantify, and prioritize end of life projects noting that qualitative assessments play key a role in decision-making to pursue a project | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                             |  |
| 17 | Understand benefit/cost analyses/methodologies that drive a project “go” decision (Discuss and understand possibilities to analyze projects on an apples-to-apples basis using present value [PV] analytical techniques)                          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                             |  |
| 18 | Ability to reproduce TO analysis based on Form 715 end of life/replacement criteria   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 10 & 18             |  |



## Transmission Replacement Processes Senior Task Force Interest Identification

(DRAFT)

|    |   |  |  |   |                             |  |
|----|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
|    |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency   |   |                             |  |
| 19 | In regards to Dominion replacement projects, interest in learning the difference between a replacement and an upgrade project. Are there state-by-state differences or regulations that dictate the definition of a replacement or upgrade project? | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                             |  |
| 20 | Additional data for sources of congestion because it is unclear and seems to be missing from education  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Completed                   |  |
| 21 | Understand how the data such as congestion is built and correlations that can be made   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Completed through education |  |
| 22 | Are there sources of exiting data that may be helpful to build transparency around end of life and supplemental projects? How can we use FERC Form 1 exiting data as feedstock?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                             |  |
| 23 | Some sort of look ahead / forecast for supplemental project decisions   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM | Combine 13 & 23             |  |
| 24 | Create a process and timeline that includes customer review and input for developing and sharing TO supplement project plans.   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |                             |  |



# Transmission Replacement Processes Senior Task Force Interest Identification

(DRAFT)

|    |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|    |   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency   |  |  |  |
| 25 | NEW: Understand who provides the documentation required under Part 6 of Form 715 and where it is  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 26 | NEW: Be able to track project costs over time from initial estimates to final costs   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  | User friendly dashboard for transmission customers requested |
| 27 | NEW: Make transparent and consistent (to the extent possible) the process for deciding whether to replace or retire transmission facilities   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 28 | Establish a date certain by which TO supplemental projects must have been fully vetted through the supplemental project plan process (see above) in order to be included in the PJM model build (ex. after date certain, supplemental projects not already vetted may not be included in the PJM model build. They must be fully vetted and included in the next model build/planning cycle.) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 29 | Except for but for emergency instances, for aging infrastructure and supplemental projects, included in the baseline RTEP only after having fully completed the local planning process as set forth by 890.   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 30 | Minimum time frames for posting   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner  |  |  |



**Transmission Replacement Processes**  
**Senior Task Force Interest Identification**

**(DRAFT)**

|    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|    |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM  |  |  |
| 31 | Improved transparency  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 32 | Consistency  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 33 | Some form of repeatable methodology/guidelines/approach for projects related to aging infrastructure and to supplemental projects          | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 34 | Verification/Justification   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 35 | Method to evaluate alternatives to replacement-in-kind (Are there better more efficient configurations? Is such an evaluation worthwhile?) | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency            | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 36 | <u>There are rights and obligations that we have a legal</u>   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency  | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer                                 |  |  |



## Transmission Replacement Processes Senior Task Force Interest Identification

(DRAFT)

|    |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|    | <u>responsibility to defend and execute</u>   | <input type="checkbox"/> Consistency  | <input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency   | <input type="checkbox"/> PJM   |  |  |
| 37 | Transmission owner responsibility to make asset management decisions in a timely, efficient and cost effective manner.  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 38 | Recognition that the TO right to maintain all transmission facilities, includes the right to replace those transmission facilities.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 39 | Support no undue discrimination in the provision of transmission service, including improvements to SRRTEP and TEAC meetings  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 40 | Support introduction of a separate Problem Statement outside of this Task Force, to address stakeholder interests regarding information on projections of transmission costs. | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 41 | Ensure that the Senior Task Force does not address issues outside of its Charter.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 42 | Planning the projects is not formulaic and do involve the exercise of engineering   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |



Transmission Replacement Processes  
Senior Task Force Interest Identification

(DRAFT)

|    |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|    | judgment. We do not want the judgment of our engineers to be supplanted by any other parties' judgement.  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency  |  |  |  |
| 43 | There are differences in how the various transmission zones have been designed and planned over many years. Those differences have in turn resulted in differences in how systems have been planned. We have an interest in continuing to have our system planned consistent with its design. | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 44 | Input process should be constructive and project focused and not one that is used as a platform for obstructing orderly and timely planning and/or for argument of litigation positions.  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 45 | Input process and transparency requirements should not unreasonably burden right of transmission owner to plan its system to address local needs.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM |  |  |
| 46 |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM            |  |  |
| 47 |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Consistency | <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Openness<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> Comparability<br><input type="checkbox"/> Dispute Resolution<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reproducibility<br><input type="checkbox"/> Market Efficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner<br><input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Customer<br><input type="checkbox"/> PJM            |  |  |





Transmission Replacement Processes  
Senior Task Force Interest Identification

**(DRAFT)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|